arXiv:1701.02361v2 [math.GT] 20 Feb 2017

ON THE VIRTUAL COSMETIC SURGERY CONJECTURE

KEEGAN BOYLE

1. Introduction

1.1. **Main Results.** Dehn surgery is an important method for constructing 3-manifolds. Extensive work has been done to understand this construction, but many elementary questions remain unresolved. For example, let M be a closed oriented 3-manifold, K and knot in M, and γ, γ' surgery slopes along K. Denote by $M_\gamma(K)$ Dehn surgery on K in M along γ. One may ask when $M_\gamma(K)$ is homeomorphic to $M_{\gamma'}(K)$. In particular, the following conjecture regarding the uniqueness of Dehn surgery along knots has been around since at least 1991 [Gor91, Conjecture 6.1].

Conjecture 1.1 (Cosmetic Surgery Conjecture)**.** If $M - K$ is not a solid torus and there exists an orientation preserving homeomorphism between $M_\gamma(K)$ and $M_{\gamma'}(K)$ then there exists a self-homeomorphism of $M - K$ taking γ to γ'.

Many partial results have been shown. For example, in 1999, Bleiler, Hodgson, and Weeks showed [BHW99] that the orientation preserving requirement is necessary by constructing an orientation reversing counterexample. In 2015 Ni and Wu [NW15] proved that if surgery on γ and γ' provide a counterexample to the conjecture, then $\gamma = -\gamma'$. Perhaps most recently Jeon proved [Jeo] in 2016 that the conjecture is true for all but finitely many surgeries on each knot in a fairly general class of hyperbolic knots.

As a generalization of the cosmetic surgery question Lidman and Manolescu [LM16, Question 1.15] asked when $M_\gamma(K)$ covers $M_{\gamma'}(K)$. Restricting to knots in S^3, we use the homological framing to write γ as $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $\gcd(p, q) = 1$. With this notation, a naïve generalization of conjecture 1.1 for knots in S^3 might be

Conjecture 1.2 (Virtual Cosmetic Surgery Conjecture)**.** If $K \subset S^3$ is not the unknot, $p'/q' \neq p/q \neq \infty$, and there exists an orientation preserving covering map of degree d from $S^3_\gamma(K)$ to $S^3_{\gamma'}(K)$, then there exists a degree d self-covering map of $S^3 - K$ taking the p/q curve to the p'/q' curve.

Remark 1.3. The $\gamma \neq \gamma'$ condition is necessary since there are nontrivial self covers of $S^3_{\pm 4}(4_1)$, but no nontrivial self covers of the figure eight knot complement, and the $p/q \neq \infty$ condition is necessary since there exist lens space surgeries on hyperbolic knots.

This conjecture is false. First, we will completely classify counterexamples on torus knots $T(r, s)$ in S^3. In order to do so, we classify all covers between orbifolds with base space S^2 and 3 or fewer cone points.

Theorem 1.4. Let $S^2(a, b, c) \to S^2(a', b', c')$ be a degree $n > 1$ cover of 2-orbifolds over S^2 with cone points of orders a, b, c, and a', b', c' respectively. Then

(1) If $\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c}<1$, then $(a,b,c),(a',b',c'),n$ are one of the following up to reordering of (a,b,c) and (a',b',c'), for some $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$.

(a,b,c)	(a',b',c')	n	(a,b,c)	(a',b',c')	n
(x,x,y)	$(2,x,2y)$	2	$(4,4,5)$	$(2,4,5)$	6
$(2,x,2x)$	$(2,3,2x)$	3	$(x,4x,4x)$	$(2,3,4x)$	6
$(2,2,x)$	$(2,2,3x)$	3	$(3,3,7)$	$(2,3,7)$	8
(x,x,x)	$(3,3,x)$	3	$(2,7,7)$	$(2,3,7)$	9
$(3,x,3x)$	$(2,3,3x)$	4	$(3,8,8)$	$(2,3,8)$	10
$(x,2x,2x)$	$(2,4,2x)$	4	$(4,8,8)$	$(2,3,8)$	12
(x,x,x)	$(2,3,2x)$	6	$(9,9,9)$	$(2,3,9)$	12

(2) If $\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c}=1$, then $(a,b,c),(a',b',c'),n$ are one of the following up to reordering of (a,b,c) and (a',b',c'), where $n=x^2+xy+y^2$ and $m=x^2+y^2$ for some $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$.

(a,b,c)	(a',b',c')	n
$(2,3,6)$	$(2,3,6)$	n
$(2,4,4)$	$(2,4,4)$	m
$(3,3,3)$	$(3,3,3)$	n
$(3,3,3)$	$(2,3,6)$	$2n$

(3) $\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c}>1$, then $(a,b,c),(a',b',c'),n$ are one of the following up to reordering of (a,b,c) and (a',b',c'), for some $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$.

(a,b,c)	(a',b',c')	n	conditions	(a,b,c)	(a',b',c')	n
$(1,x,y)$	$(1,nx,ny)$	n		$(2,3,3)$	$(2,3,4)$	2
$(1,d,d)$	$(2,2,x)$	$2x/d$	$d\|x$	$(2,2,3)$	$(2,3,4)$	4
$(1,d,d)$	$(2,3,3)$	$12/d$	$d\in\{1,2,3\}$	$(2,3,3)$	$(2,3,5)$	5
$(1,d,d)$	$(2,3,4)$	$24/d$	$d\in\{1,2,3,4\}$	$(2,2,3)$	$(2,3,5)$	10
$(1,d,d)$	$(2,3,5)$	$60/d$	$d\in\{1,2,3,5\}$	$(2,2,5)$	$(2,3,5)$	6

This allows a classification of covers between small Seifert fiber spaces (that is, Seifert fiber spaces with base orbifold $S^2(a,b,c)$), and hence all Seifert fibered surgeries on torus knots in S^3. See section 6. Note that many Seifert fibered surgeries on other knots are also known to be smal, for example alternating hyperbolic knots [IM16]. Many of the covers in theorem 1.4 give counter examples to conjecture 1.2, however it turns out that for most torus knots the conjecture is true.

Theorem 1.5. Let $|r|,|s|>2$, $(|r|,|s|)\neq(3,4),(3,5),(4,5),(3,7)$, or $(3,8)$. Then $S^3_{p/q}(T(r,s))$ covers $S^3_{p'/q'}(T(r,s))$ if and only if $|rsq+p|=|rsq'+p'|$ and $p|p'$, in which case the degree of the cover is p'/p.

In fact these covers restrict to self covers of the knot complement, giving the following corollary.

Corollary 1.6. Conjecture 1.2 is true for $T(r,s)$ as long as $|r|,|s|>2$, $(|r|,|s|)\neq(3,4),(3,5),(4,5),(3,7)$, or $(3,8)$.

In the case of hyperbolic knots, Mostow rigidity implies that there are no non-trivial self covers of the knot complements. In this case conjecture 1.2 would reduce to the cosmetic surgery conjecture on hyperbolic knots for trivial covers, and the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.7 (Hyperbolic Virtual Cosmetic Surgery Conjecture)**.** If $p/q\neq p'/q'\in\mathbb{Q}$, then $S^3_{p'/q'}(K)$ does not non-trivially cover $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ for any hyperbolic knot K.

An argument pointed out by a referee of a previous version shows that the following proposition, which is precisely stated later as corollary 7.2, is a consequence of [FKP08, Theorem 1.1].

Proposition 1.8. Conjecture 1.7 is true for all but at most 32 p'/q' on each hyperbolic knot $K \subset S^3$.

Focusing on low crossing number knots, some computations in SnapPy [CDGW] along with known information about exceptional surgeries on twist knots and pretzel knots give the following.

Proposition 1.9. If $p/q \neq 0$, then conjecture 1.7 is true for all hyperbolic knots with 8 or fewer crossings.

1.2. **Outline of the Paper.** The organization of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we provide some background. In sections 3 through 6 we discuss the case of torus knots, culminating in the proof of theorem 1.5 in section 6.3. In section 7 we discuss the case of hyperbolic knots, culminating in the proofs of propositions 1.8 and 1.9.

1.3. **Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank the referee on a previous version for useful comments, Nathan Dunfield, Jessica Purcell, and Cameron Gordon for helpful conversations, and Robert Lipshitz for support, suggestions, and corrections.

2. Background

All 3-manifolds are assumed compact, connected and orientable.

We will use the notation $S^2(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ to mean the orbifold with underlying surface S^2, and n cone points points with $\mathbb{Z}/\alpha_i\mathbb{Z}$ isotropy subgroups. In the 1970s, Moser classified Dehn surgeries on torus knots up to orientation preserving homeomorphism:

Theorem 2.1. [Mos71, Theorem 1] Let K be the (r, s) torus knot, and M be $S^3_{p/q}(K)$. Then

(1) If $|rsq+p| > 1$ then M is a Seifert fiber space with base orbifold $S^2(|r|, |s|, |rsq+p|)$, and the orientation preserving homeomorphism type is determined by $|H_1(S^3_{p/q}(K), \mathbb{Z})| = |p|$.
(1a) If $|rsq + p| = 1$ then M is the lens space $L(|p|, qs^2)$.
(2) If $rsq + p = 0$ then M is $L(r, s)\#L(s, r)$.

For M an oriented Seifert fiber space with base orbifold $S^2(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ and Seifert invariants $\{(\alpha_i, \beta_i)\}$ we will use the notation

$$\{b; (o_1, 0); (\alpha_1, \beta_1), \ldots, (\alpha_n, \beta_n)\}.$$

Here the o_1 refers to both the base orbifold and M being orientable, and 0 is the genus of S^2. For more information see [JN83]. It will be useful to recall some facts about orbifold covers and Seifert fiber spaces. We use Thurston's definition of a covering map of orbifolds, see [Thu, Chapter 13].

Definition 2.2. The *orbifold Euler characteristic* of a compact 2-dimensional orbifold Σ with underlying manifold S, r corner reflectors of orders $\{n_i\}$ and s cone points of orders $\{m_j\}$ is

$$\chi(\Sigma) := \chi(S) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{r} 1 - \frac{1}{n_i} - \sum_{j=1}^{s} 1 - \frac{1}{m_j}$$

Note that by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, $\chi(\Sigma)$ is multiplicative under finite covers. In the case at hand, suppose $S^2(a, b, c) \to S^2(a', b', c')$ is a covering space of degree d. Then

$$\chi(S^2) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{a}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{b}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right) = d\left(\chi(S^2) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{a'}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{b'}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{c'}\right)\right).$$

More succinctly:

$$\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c}-1=d\left(\frac{1}{a'}+\frac{1}{b'}+\frac{1}{c'}-1\right) \tag{1}$$

Additionally, looking at the preimages of the orbifold points a', b', and c', there is an obvious condition on d which will be more easily stated with the following definition.

Definition 2.3. Given a partition $\lambda_a = \{a_1, \dots a_n\}$ of d where $a_i | a$, the *a-inverse* of λ is the set $\{a/a_1, \dots a/a_n\}$ denoted λ^a.

Given a cover $S^2(a,b,c) \to S^2(a',b',c')$ of degree d, there exist partitions $\lambda_{a'}, \lambda_{b'}$ and $\lambda_{c'}$ of d by divisors of a', b', and c' respectively so that the union $\lambda^{a'} \cup \lambda^{b'} \cup \lambda^{c'}$ consists entirely of 1s except for a single a, b, and c. We will refer to this as the *partition condition* for orbifold covers.

Definition 2.4. A *Seifert neighborhood* of a fiber γ in a Seifert fiber space is a fiber preserving homeomorphism from a neighborhood of γ to $I \times D^2/\sim$ where $(0,z) \sim (1, e^{2\pi i q/p} z)$ for some pair of relatively prime integers p and q, and the fibers are cycles of vertical fibers $I \times *$. Once such a homeomorphism is fixed we will refer to such a neighborhood as $N_{\frac{q}{p}}(\gamma)$.

By definition a Seifert neighborhood exists for every fiber, and p is the *index* of the fiber. A fiber is *regular* if $p = 1$ and *singular* otherwise.

Definition 2.5. Given a covering $f : \widetilde{M} \to M$, a *pre-regular* fiber $\gamma \subset \widetilde{M}$ is a Seifert fiber of M such that $f(\gamma)$ is a regular fiber of M. A *pre-singular* fiber γ is one such that $f(\gamma)$ is a singular fiber of M.

The following is a restatement of an observation in [Mos71], which will be needed to discuss realizations of Seifert fiber spaces as surgeries on specific torus knots.

Lemma 2.6. Given a base orbifold, the normalized Seifert invariants b and β of a small Seifert fiber space are determined by the order of the first homology group.

Proof. Given base orbifold $S^2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$, consider a small Seifert fiber space

$$\{b, (o_1, 0); (\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2), (\alpha_3, \beta_3)\}.$$

Then

$$|H_1| = \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 b + \alpha_1\alpha_2\beta_3 + \alpha_1\beta_2\alpha_3 + \beta_1\alpha_2\alpha_3,$$

see for example [Mos71]. In particular, reducing this equation modulo α_1 gives

$$|H_1| \equiv \beta_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 \bmod \alpha_1.$$

By the normalization $0 \leq \beta_1 < \alpha_1$, this determines β_1. Similarly, reducing modulo α_2 and α_3 determines β_2 and β_3 respectively. Then the original equation solves for b. □

Definition 2.7. A *Seifert cover* is a covering map of Seifert fiber spaces which takes fibers to fibers.

We now provide a necessary definition for section 7. For more information see [Rat06].

We will use the homological framing for knots in S^3, so that the longitude refers to the framing curve having linking number 0 with the knot. Using the standard identification of a horoball neighborhood of the cusp with a torus quotient of $\mathbb{C}$, we can define complex lengths for the longitude and meridian. These are only determined up to scaling the horoball, so we use the following.

Definition 2.8. The *cusp shape* $s \in \mathbb{C}$ of a hyperbolic knot is $s = l/m$, where l is the complex length of the longitude, and m is the complex length of the meridian.

This is independent of the choice of horoball since the longitude and meridian scale together.

3. Lens spaces and connect sums of lens spaces

Lemma 3.1. $L(r,s)\#L(s,r)$ cannot be covered nontrivially by $M\#N$ for any prime 3-manifolds M and N.

Proof. Let S be a separating sphere in $L(r,s)\#L(s,r)$, let $f:\widetilde{M}\to L(r,s)\#L(s,r)$ be a covering map, and let $\widetilde{S}=f^{-1}(S)$. Then $\widetilde{S}$ is a collection of $\deg(f)$-many separating spheres in $\widetilde{M}$. Hence by uniqueness of prime decomposition of 3-manifolds (see for example [Hat, theorem 1.5]), $\widetilde{M}$ must be the connect sum of at least $\deg(f)+1$ prime 3-manifolds.

□

Since Seifert fiber spaces (including lens spaces) are prime, and covers of irreducible 3-manifolds are irreducible [Hat, proposition 1.6], this concludes the case of $L(r,s)\#L(s,r)$. That is,

Corollary 3.2. Let M and M' be obtained from Dehn surgery on a torus knot K. Then if either M or M' is of type (2) in Moser's classification, then there is no covering map $f:M\to M'$.

Lemma 3.3. If $L(p,q)$ and $L(p',q')$ are lens spaces obtained from surgeries on the same torus knot, then $L(p',q')$ covers $L(p,q)$ if and only if p' divides p.

Proof. In general $L(p,x)$ has a unique cover for each divisor d of p, and that cover is $L(p/d,x)$, so the only if direction is clear. On the other hand, looking at which lens spaces are possible as surgeries on the same torus knot, we get from (1a) in theorem 2.1 that $\gcd(r,p)=1$ and that $qrs\equiv 1 \bmod p$. Hence mod p we can write qs^2 as sr^{-1} mod p.

Now suppose that $L(p,x)$ and $L(p/d,y)$ occur as (p,q) and (p',q') surgery respectively on the same torus knot, so that $x=qs^2$ and $y=q's^2$. Then $x\equiv sr^{-1} \bmod p$ and hence $x\equiv sr^{-1}\equiv y \bmod p/d$. Then by the classification of lens spaces $L(p/d,y)\cong L(p/d,x)$, and so $L(p/d,y)$ covers $L(p,x)$. □

Since the only covers of lens spaces are lens spaces, this finishes the case where the base 3-manifold is a lens space.

4. Covers of Seifert fiber spaces

Throughout this section let M be a Seifert fiber space with the underlying surface of the base orbifold orientable, i.e. $M\cong\{b,(o_1,0);(\alpha_1,\beta_1),\dots,(\alpha_n,\beta_n)\}$. Let $f:\widetilde{M}\to M$ be a covering map. Then there is an induced Seifert fiber structure on $\widetilde{M}$ where the fibers are the preimages of the fibers in M; see for example [JN83, lemma 8.1]. In particular, there is a choice of Seifert fiber structure on $\widetilde{M}$ so that f is a Seifert cover. Note however, that $\widetilde{M}$ may have other Seifert fiber structures for which f is not even homotopic to a Seifert cover.

Definition 4.1. A *fiberwise cover* is a Seifert cover $f:\widetilde{M}\to M$ for which the preimage of each fiber of M is a single fiber of $\widetilde{M}$.

Definition 4.2. A *pullback cover* is a Seifert cover $f:\widetilde{M}\to M$ which induces a covering map $f_*:\widetilde{\Sigma}\to\Sigma$ of base orbifolds with $\deg(f)=\deg(f_*)$.

Remark 4.3. The term *pullback* is justified by corollary 4.7, which implies the universal property, and hence uniqueness, of such covers.

Proposition 4.4. Let $f:\widetilde{M}\to M$ be a Seifert cover, γ be a fiber in $\widetilde{M}$, and $\gamma':=f(\gamma)\in M$ be a fiber in M. Then for any Seifert neighborhood $N_{q'/p'}\subset M$ each connected component of

$f^{-1}(N_{q'/p'})$ is a Seifert neighborhood. Furthermore, for each connected component $N_{q/p}$, $q|q'$, $p|p'$, and f restricted to $N_{q/p}(\gamma)$ factors as $f_1 \circ f_2$ where f_2 is a fiberwise cover $N_{q/p} \to N_{q'/p}$, and f_1 is a pullback cover $N_{q'/p} \to N_{q'/p'}$.

The fact that each connected component of $f^{-1}(N_{q'/p'}$ is a Seifert neighborhood follows directly from the construction of the Seifert structure on $\widetilde{M}$ induced by taking preimages of the fibers in M. The proof of the remainder of the proposition will require the following two lemmas:

Lemma 4.5. Given a Seifert cover $f : \widetilde{N} \to N$ of Seifert neighborhoods, the covering map is equivalent (as covering spaces) to one whose deck transformation groups acts as rotation on both coordinates of $\partial\widetilde{N}$. Furthermore, f is determined (up to covering space isomorphism) by this action on the boundary.

Proof. The map f is a covering map with cyclic deck transformation group G since N is homotopy equivalent to a circle. Pick a generator g of G. The generator g acts on $\widetilde{N}$ taking fibers to fibers and has finite order, so it decomposes into an action g_1 on the central fiber, S^1, and an action g_2 on D^2, a disk transverse to each fiber. By classification of 1-manifolds g_1 is conjugate to a rotation, and by [vK19], g_2 is conjugate to a rotation, so up to isomorphism of covering spaces, g rotates $\widetilde{N}$ on both coordinates. □

Lemma 4.6. Each connected preimage of a regular fiber under a Seifert cover is a regular fiber.

Proof. Consider a Seifert neighborhood N of a regular fiber γ in the base. Choose a connected preimage $\widetilde{\gamma}$ with Seifert neighborhood $\widetilde{N}$ mapping to N. Since γ is a regular fiber, N is a (trivial) circle bundle over D^2 with fibers the fibers of the Seifert fiber space. Then $\widetilde{N}$ has fibers which map to the fibers of N so it is also a (trivial) circle bundle over D^2 and hence $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is a regular fiber. □

Proof of proposition 4.4. Consider $M \setminus \{\text{singular fibers}\}$. This is a trivial circle bundle over a (non-compact) surface. That is, $M \setminus \{\text{singular fibers}\} \cong B \times S^1$ for some surface B. Then the preimage $\{\text{pre-regular fibers of } \widetilde{M}\}$ is also a trivial circle bundle $\widetilde{B} \times S^1$. By abuse of notation write $f : \widetilde{B} \times S^1 \to B \times S^1$ for the induced cover. Then we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{B} \times S^1 & \xrightarrow{f} & B \times S^1 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{p}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ \widetilde{B} & \xrightarrow{g} & B. \end{array}$$

Passing to the fundamental group, note that any map $\pi_1(\widetilde{B}) \times \mathbb{Z} \to \pi_1(B) \times \mathbb{Z}$ is determined by its four component maps. In particular, the map $\pi_1(\widetilde{B}) \to \pi_1(B)$ must be g_* by naturality and commutativity of the above diagram, and the map $\mathbb{Z} \to \pi_1(B)$ must be 0 since f is a Seifert cover. Defining x to be the map $\pi_1(\widetilde{B}) \to \mathbb{Z}$ and n to be the (multiplication by n) map $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$, we have $f_* = \begin{bmatrix} g_* & 0 \\ x & n \end{bmatrix}$, giving:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(\widetilde{B}) \times \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} g_* & 0 \\ x & n \end{bmatrix}} & \pi_1(B) \times \mathbb{Z} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{p}_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_*} \\ \pi_1(\widetilde{B}) & \xrightarrow{g_*} & \pi_1(B) \end{array}$$

This map factors as

$$\begin{bmatrix} g_* & 0 \\ x & n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_* & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{id} & 0 \\ 0 & n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Where $\begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{id} & 0 \\ 0 & n \end{bmatrix} : \pi_1(\widetilde{B}) \times \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \pi_1(\widetilde{B}) \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} g_* & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{bmatrix} : \pi_1(\widetilde{B}) \times \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \pi_1(B) \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Since the image of $\begin{bmatrix} g_* & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is contained in the image of $\begin{bmatrix} g_* & 0 \\ x & n \end{bmatrix}$, this defines an intermediate cover $\widetilde{B} \times S^1 \to \widetilde{B} \times S^1 \to B \times S^1$ corresponding to the subgroup of $\pi_1(B) \times \mathbb{Z}$ given by the image of $\begin{bmatrix} g_* & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Furthermore, since the upper cover $\begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{id} & 0 \\ 0 & n \end{bmatrix}$ is a normal cover, there is a corresponding $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ subgroup of the deck transformation group. We now claim that this intermediate cover lifts to an intermediate cover $\widetilde{M} \to \overline{M} \to M$.

Let Γ_B be the deck transformation group of f restricted to $\widetilde{B} \times S^1$ and let Γ_M be the deck transformation group of f. Since subgroups of the deck transformation group give intermediate covers, it is enough to check that each element in the $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ subgroup of Γ_B is the restriction of some element of Γ_M. Note that M can be obtained from $B \times S^1$ by attaching a 2-cell and 3-cell for each singular fiber. In particular these are simply connected so they lift to a 2-cell and 3-cell in each sheet of the cover. Now given an element of Γ_B, it permutes the sheets, so it extends to an element of Γ_M by permuting the lifts of these cells.

Next we show that for both maps $f_2 : \widetilde{B} \times S^1 \to \widetilde{B} \times S^1$ and $f_1 : \widetilde{B} \times S^1 \to B \times S^1$ there exists a section whose image is also a section. For the first, it is clear that the image of any section is a section. For the second, we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(\widetilde{B}) \times \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} g_* & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{bmatrix}} & \pi_1(B) \times \mathbb{Z} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{p}_*} & & {\scriptstyle p_*}\downarrow \uparrow{\scriptstyle s_*} \\ \pi_1(\widetilde{B}) & \xrightarrow{g_*} & \pi_1(B) \end{array}$$

where s_* is the induced map from an arbitrary section $s : B \to B \times S^1$. Now it is clear that $(s \circ g)_* = s_* \circ g_*$ is contained in the image of $\begin{bmatrix} g_* & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, and hence there is a lift $\widetilde{s'} : \widetilde{B} \to \widetilde{B} \times S^1$. Then we have that $f \circ \widetilde{s'} = s \circ g$, and hence that $p \circ f_1 \circ \widetilde{s'} = g$ so that $g \circ \widetilde{p} \circ \widetilde{s'} = g$ and hence that $\widetilde{p} \circ \widetilde{s'}$ is an element of the deck transformation group. Precomposing $\widetilde{s'}$ with the inverse of this element gives a section $\widetilde{s} := \widetilde{s'} \circ (\widetilde{p} \circ \widetilde{s'})^{-1}$ which still commutes with the diagram, and whose image is the section s.

Now we will restrict to the case in which we can choose a section whose image is also a section. Take a Seifert neighborhood $\widetilde{N} \subset \widetilde{M}$. We can choose a framing on $\partial\widetilde{N}$ given by a fiber δ and the intersection of a section with this boundary $\sigma \cap \partial\widetilde{N}$ which by abuse of notation we will also call σ. See figures 1 and 2. By lemma 4.5, the deck transformation group acts by rotation on $\partial\widetilde{N}$, say by an a/x rotation on δ and a b/y rotation on σ with $\gcd(a, x) = \gcd(b, y) = 1$.

Now looking at $N := f(\widetilde{N})$, we see that $f(\delta)$ and $f(\sigma)$ intersect $xy/\mathrm{lcm}(x, y)$ times. But we chose δ as a pullback of a fiber and by assumption the image of σ is also a section in M, so $f(\delta)$ and $f(\sigma)$ intersect exactly once. Hence $xy/\mathrm{lcm}(x, y) = \gcd(x, y) = 1$. Now by the Chinese remainder theorem we can choose a generator of the deck transformation group which acts by



FIGURE 1. The degree 4 fiberwise quotient of $N_{1/3}(\gamma)$ is $N_{4/3}(f(\gamma))$. δ is a fiber in $N_{1/3}$, σ and the dashed lines are sections of $\partial N_{1/3}$ with the same image in $N_{4/3}$, the solid diagonal line is a meridian of $N_{1/3}$, and the dotted line is its image in $N_{4/3}$.

$1/x$ rotation on δ and $1/y$ rotation on σ.

It remains to check which of these rotations act freely on $\widetilde{N}$. Clearly it is a free action away from the central fiber, so it is enough to determine when a rotation that fixes the central fiber is the identity element. In particular, since the fibers wrap p times around the central fiber, the $1/x$ rotation on the non-central fibers induces a p/x rotation on the central fiber. Hence the condition is precisely that $\gcd(p, x) = 1$, and similarly that $\gcd(q, y) = 1$. Letting f_1 be the quotient by the $1/x$ rotation and f_2 be the quotient by the $1/y$ rotation then gives the result. □

Corollary 4.7. More generally, given a cover of Seifert fiber spaces $f : \widetilde{M} \to M$, f factors as in proposition 4.4. In particular f induces a covering map of base orbifolds $\widetilde{\Sigma} \to \Sigma$. This is notated as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^1 & \xrightarrow{\deg(f_2)} & S^1 & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & S^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widetilde{M} & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \overline{M} & \xrightarrow{f_1} & M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widetilde{\Sigma} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & \widetilde{\Sigma} & \xrightarrow{\deg(f_1)} & \Sigma \end{array}$$

Where $\overline{M}$ is the pullback of the bottom right square, the columns are Seifert fibrations and the bottom row are the base orbifolds. The top left S^1 is a pre-regular fiber of $\widetilde{M}$.

Proof. Decompose $\widetilde{M}$ into Seifert neighborhoods and apply proposition 4.4. Since σ was chosen globally, the Seifert neighborhoods glue together appropriately. Since $D^2(xp) \to D^2(p)$ is a cover of orbifolds, and covering spaces are defined locally, $\widetilde{\Sigma} \to \Sigma$ is a cover as well. □

It will also be useful to describe explicitly the effect of these covers on the standard Seifert fiber form, which is stated in the following two corollaries.

Corollary 4.8. Let $f : \widetilde{M} \to M$ be a fiberwise cover with $\widetilde{M} = \{b; (o_1, 0); (\alpha_1, \beta_1), \ldots, (\alpha_k, \beta_k)\}$. Then $M = \{d_f b; (o_1, 0); (\alpha_1, d_f\beta_1), \ldots, (\alpha_k, d_f\beta_k)\}$, where d_f is the degree of f.

Proof. Begin by rewriting $\widetilde{M}$ as $\{0; (o_1, 0); (\alpha_1, \beta_1), \ldots, (\alpha_k, \beta_k), (\alpha_{k+1}, b)\}$ with $\alpha_{k+1} = 1$. Then applying proposition 4.4 to a neighborhood of each listed fiber gives the result. See figure 1. □

Corollary 4.9. Let $f : \widetilde{M} \to M$ be a pullback of base orbifolds with

$$M = \{b; (o_1, 0); (\alpha_1, \beta_1), \ldots, (\alpha_k, \beta_k)\}.$$



FIGURE 2. The pullback of $N_{2/3}(\gamma)$ along $f_* : D^2 \to D^2(3)$ is $N_{2/1}(f^{-1}(\gamma))$. δ and the dashed lines are fibers of $N_{2/1}$ with image the same fiber in $N_{2/3}$, and σ is a section of $\partial N_{2/1}$. The diagonal lines are meridians of $\partial N_{2/1}$ with the same image in $N_{2/3}$.

Then

$$\widetilde{M} = \{db; (o_1, 0); \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\lambda_1(\alpha_1)}, \beta_1\right), \ldots, \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\lambda_{r_1}(\alpha_1)}, \beta_1\right), \ldots, \left(\frac{\alpha_k}{\lambda_k(\alpha_k)}, \beta_k\right), \ldots, \left(\frac{\alpha_k}{\lambda_{r_k}(\alpha_k)}, \beta_k\right)\}$$

where d is the degree of f, $\lambda(\alpha_i)$ is the partition of d by divisors of α_i coming from the cover of base orbifolds, $\lambda_j(\alpha_i)$ is the jth part of the partition $\lambda(\alpha_i)$ (in any order), and r_i is the length of $\lambda(\alpha_i)$.

Proof. The α Seifert invariants are determined by the cone points from the orbifold cover, which are determined from the partitions as stated. See for example [EKS84, section 1]. The β Seifert invariants are left unchanged by proposition 4.4. Writing the b from M as a $(1, b)$ fiber, then lifts to d-many $(1, b)$ fibers in $\widetilde{M}$ by proposition 4.4, which can then be reconsolidated into db. See figure 2. □

5. Orbifold covers

In this section we will classify all orbifold covers of the form $S^2(a, b, c) \to S^2(a', b', c')$. Taking $a' = |r|$ and $b' = |s|$, Moser's classification along with proposition 4.4 will allow us to classify coverings between surgeries on $T(r, s)$.
Since the orbifold Euler characteristic (or just orbifold characteristic, χ_{orb}) is multiplicative under covers, we can further decompose the problem into the cases $\chi_{orb} < 0$, $\chi_{orb} = 0$, and $\chi_{orb} > 0$. These correspond to the three cases in theorem 1.4.

5.1. **Covers of negative orbifold characteristic.**

Proposition 5.1. The only non-trivial covers of orbifolds $S^2(a, b, c) \to S^2(a', b', c')$ with negative orbifold characteristic are

(a, b, c)	(a', b', c')	degree	(a, b, c)	(a', b', c')	degree
(x, x, y)	$(2, x, 2y)$	2	$(4, 4, 5)$	$(2, 4, 5)$	6
$(2, x, 2x)$	$(2, 3, 2x)$	3	$(x, 4x, 4x)$	$(2, 3, 4x)$	6
$(2, 2, x)$	$(2, 2, 3x)$	3	$(3, 3, 7)$	$(2, 3, 7)$	8
(x, x, x)	$(3, 3, x)$	3	$(2, 7, 7)$	$(2, 3, 7)$	9
$(3, x, 3x)$	$(2, 3, 3x)$	4	$(3, 8, 8)$	$(2, 3, 8)$	10
$(x, 2x, 2x)$	$(2, 4, 2x)$	4	$(4, 8, 8)$	$(2, 3, 8)$	12
(x, x, x)	$(2, 3, 2x)$	6	$(9, 9, 9)$	$(2, 3, 9)$	12

Where $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ are large enough that $\chi_{orb} < 0$.

Observe that since Seifert fiber spaces over these orbifolds have a unique base orbifold [JN83, Theorem 5.2], the only possible torus knots these covers can occur on are $T(2, x), T(\pm 4, 5), T(\pm 3, 7)$ and $T(\pm 3, 8)$.



FIGURE 3. $S^2(x, x, y)$ 2-fold covers $S^2(2, x, 2y)$

Proof. To begin with, multiplicativity of the orbifold characteristic gives

$$\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c}-1 = n\left(\frac{1}{a'}+\frac{1}{b'}+\frac{1}{c'}-1\right)$$

where n is the degree of the cover. By assumption, $\chi_{orb} < 0$, so both $\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c}-1$ and $\frac{1}{a'}+\frac{1}{b'}+\frac{1}{c'}-1$ are between 0 and -1. We first consider the case $n \geq 7$. In this case $\frac{6}{7} < \frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c} < 1$, and so there are finitely many potential triples (a, b, c). For each of these triples, the partition condition on covers gives a finite list of triples (a', b', c') and degrees n for which we might have a cover $S^2(a, b, c) \to S^2(a', b', c')$.

Now we associate to each degree n orbifold cover $S^2(a, b, c) \to S^2(a', b', c')$ a cover of $S^1 \vee S^1$ also of degree n in the following way. Split the base S^2 into three regions with a wedge of two circles such that each region contains one orbifold point. Then the original cover gives a gluing of some covers of the resulting disk orbifolds onto a cover of $S^1 \vee S^1$. This is shown for $S^2(x, x, y) \to S^2(2, x, 2y)$ in figure 3. Now since the problem is reduced to covers of degree less than 7, plus some finite number of potential exceptions, we can use a brute force search to obtain the stated list of covers.

□

5.2. **Covers of zero orbifold characteristic.**

Proposition 5.2. The only covers $S^2(a, b, c) \to S^2(a', b', c')$ with $\chi_{orb} = 0$ are

(a, b, c)	(a', b', c')	degree
$(2, 3, 6)$	$(2, 3, 6)$	n
$(2, 4, 4)$	$(2, 4, 4)$	m
$(3, 3, 3)$	$(3, 3, 3)$	n
$(3, 3, 3)$	$(2, 3, 6)$	$2n$

where $n = x^2 + xy + y^2$, and $m = x^2 + y^2$ for some integers x and y (not both 0).

Note that these covers only occur on $T(\pm 2, 3)$ since the base orbifold of Seifert fiber spaces with these base orbifolds is unique [JN83, Theorem 5.2].

Proof. First, recall that the only triples (a, b, c) with $\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c} = 1$ are $(2, 4, 4)$, $(3, 3, 3)$, and $(2, 3, 6)$. Unlike the other cases, the multiplicativity of the orbifold characteristic tells us nothing about the degree of any potential covers. In particular, each of these orbifolds has many self-covers.

$S^2(2, 3, 6)$ is the fundamental domain of the hexagonal lattice in the p6 wallpaper group, so self-coverings correspond precisely to sublattices with degree corresponding to index. There is a unique sublattice generated by each point in the lattice, and the index is given by the norm of the point. Norms in turn are given as linear combinations of the generators of the lattice. Choosing generators $\langle 1, 1/2\rangle$ and $\langle 1/2, 1\rangle$, norms of points in the lattice are given by outputs of the quadratic form $x^2 + xy + y^2$. These should be considered up to permutation of x and y since this gives an equivalent cover. See [CS99, section 2.2] for more details.

Similarly, $S^2(3,3,3)$ corresponds to the p3 wallpaper group, so self-coverings again correspond to sublattices of the hexagonal lattice, and $S^2(2,4,4)$ corresponds to the p4 wallpaper group, so self-coverings correspond to sublattices of the square lattice, which have indices given by integers of the form x^2+y^2. It remains to check non-self-coverings between these orbifolds. In fact, the only remaining subgroup inclusion gives $S^2(3,3,3) \to S^2(2,3,6)$ of degree 2, plus any compositions of this cover with self covers of $S^2(3,3,3)$ or $S^2(2,3,6)$. See [CM01, Figure 4]. □

Remark 5.3. In these cases, covers of a specified degree are not necessarily unique. For example $49 = 7^2+7\cdot 0+0^2 = 5^2+5\cdot 3+3^2$, and hence there are two inequivalent self covers of $S^2(2,3,6)$ of degree 49.

5.3. **Covers of positive orbifold characteristic.**

Proposition 5.4. The only non-trivial covers of orbifolds $S^2(a,b,c) \to S^2(a',b',c')$ with positive orbifold characteristic are the following.

(a,b,c)	(a',b',c')	*degree*	conditions	(a,b,c)	(a',b',c')	*degree*
$(1,x,y)$	$(1,nx,ny)$	n		$(2,3,3)$	$(2,3,4)$	2
$(1,d,d)$	$(2,2,x)$	$2x/d$	$d\|x$	$(2,2,3)$	$(2,3,4)$	4
$(1,d,d)$	$(2,3,3)$	$12/d$	$d\in\{1,2,3\}$	$(2,3,3)$	$(2,3,5)$	5
$(1,d,d)$	$(2,3,4)$	$24/d$	$d\in\{1,2,3,4\}$	$(2,2,3)$	$(2,3,5)$	10
$(1,d,d)$	$(2,3,5)$	$60/d$	$d\in\{1,2,3,5\}$	$(2,2,5)$	$(2,3,5)$	6
$(2,2,d)$	$(2,2,x)$	x/d	$d\|x$			

Here n,x,y are any positive integers. Note that since Seifert fiber spaces over these orbifolds (i.e. lens spaces) do not necessarily have unique base orbifolds, these covers may (and in fact do) occur on $T(3,4), T(2,x)$ and $T(3,5)$ in addition to $T(2,3)$.

Proof. Using only multiplicativity of orbifold characteristic and the classification of elliptic 2-orbifolds (see for example [Thu, section 13.3]), the potential covers are

(1) $S^2(x,y) \to S^2(nx,ny)$
(2) $S^2(d,d) \to S^2(2,2,x)$ with $d|x$,
(3) $S^2(d,d) \to S^2(2,3,3)$ where $d|12$,
(4) $S^2(d,d) \to S^2(2,3,4)$ where $d|24$,
(5) $S^2(d,d) \to S^2(2,3,5)$ where $d|60$,
(6) $S^2(2,2,d) \to S^2(2,2,x)$, $d|x$,
(7) $S^2(2,2,3) \to S^2(2,3,3)$,
(8) $S^2(2,2,3) \to S^2(2,3,4)$,
(9) $S^2(2,2,3) \to S^2(2,3,5)$,
(10) $S^2(2,3,3) \to S^2(2,3,4)$,
(11) $S^2(2,3,3) \to S^2(2,3,5)$,
(12) $S^2(2,2,5) \to S^2(2,3,5)$.

Not all of these satisfy the partition condition, so applying that restriction as well gives

(1) $S^2(x,y) \to S^2(nx,ny)$
(2) $S^2(d,d) \to S^2(2,2,x)$ with $d|x$,
(3) $S^2(d,d) \to S^2(2,3,3)$, $d\in\{1,2,3\}$,
(4) $S^2(d,d) \to S^2(2,3,4)$, $d\in\{1,2,3,4\}$,
(5) $S^2(d,d) \to S^2(2,3,5)$, $d\in\{1,2,3,5\}$,
(6) $S^2(2,2,d) \to S^2(2,2,x)$, $d|x$,
(7) $S^2(2,2,3) \to S^2(2,3,4)$,
(8) $S^2(2,2,3) \to S^2(2,3,5)$,
(9) $S^2(2,3,3) \to S^2(2,3,4)$,
(10) $S^2(2,3,3) \to S^2(2,3,5)$.

In fact these are all orbifold covers, which can be shown in the same way as for the negative orbifold case. This is shown for most cases in figures 4 and 5. The cases $S^2(d,d) \to S^2(2,3,5)$ for $d\in\{1,2,3,5\}$ and $S^2(d,d) \to S^2(2,3,4)$ for $d\in\{1,2,3\}$ are omitted since they are compositions of other covers on the lis. $S^2(x,y) \to S^2(nx,ny)$ corresponds to an n-fold cover of a single circle. $S^2(2,2,d) \to S^2(2,2,x)$ is similar to $S^2(x,x,y) \to S^2(2,x,2y)$ from figure 3. Finally, we note that these covers are unique by checking that there is a unique partition that satisfies the partition condition. For example, the only valid partitions of 10 by divisors of 2,3, and 5 are

$$10 = \frac{2}{1}+\frac{2}{1}+\frac{2}{1}+\frac{2}{1}+\frac{2}{2}+\frac{2}{2} = \frac{3}{1}+\frac{3}{1}+\frac{3}{1}+\frac{3}{3} = \frac{5}{1}+\frac{5}{1}.$$

□



FIGURE 4. Some orbifold covers from proposition 5.4.



FIGURE 5. More orbifold covers from proposition 5.4. Figure (A) is drawn for $x/d = 4$. In general, d would be labeling an x/d-gon.

Proof of theorem 1.4. This is now a direct consequence of propositions 5.1, 5.2, and 5.4. □

6. Realization of orbifold covers

Now that we have a complete list of possible base orbifold covers, we aim to understand when these covers are realized by Seifert covers of surgeries on a torus knot. By proposition 4.4 we can split this problem into two parts. First, given a Seifert fiber space $M = S^3_{p/q}(K)$ with base orbifold Σ and $\widetilde{\Sigma} \to \Sigma$ a non-trivial cover of orbifolds, when is the pullback of M along this cover also realized by surgery on K? We discuss this in 6.1. Second, given a fixed base orbifold Σ, which coverings of Seifert fiber spaces occur over Σ as surgery on the same torus knot? We discuss this in 6.2. Finally, composing a fiberwise cover and a pullback cover may be realized even if the intermediate cover is not. An example is given in 6.3.

6.1. **Realization of pullbacks of orbifold covers.**

Lemma 6.1. Pullbacks along the following coverings of base 2-orbifolds do not occur for surgeries on any torus knot.

(1) $S^2(d,d) \to S^2(2,|s|,2)$ where $d|s$ and s is odd,

(2) $S^2(d,d) \to S^2(2,3,3)$ where $d \in \{1,2,3\}$,
(3) $S^2(d,d) \to S^2(2,3,4)$ where $d \in \{1,2,3,4\}$,
(4) $S^2(d,d) \to S^2(2,3,5)$ where $d \in \{1,2,3,5\}$.

Proof. We first consider (1). By Moser's classification $S^2(2,|s|,2)$ can only occur as a base orbifold from surgery on the $T(2,\pm s)$ torus knot. We will check that $S^2(d,d)$ never occurs from surgery on this knot. Since Seifert fiber spaces over $S^2(d,d)$ are lens spaces, Moser's classification implies $|2sq+p|=1$ in the cover. In particular $p \equiv 1 \bmod 2s$. Computing p (the order of H_1) from the Seifert invariants however, gives

$$p = |H_1(\{b,(o_1,0);(d,\beta_1),(d,\beta_2)\})| = d^2b + d\beta_1 + d\beta_2 \equiv 0 \bmod d.$$

Hence $p \not\equiv 1 \bmod 2s$ unless (potentially) $d=1$. In this case we would have the space

$$\{b,(o_1,0);(2,\beta_1),(s,\beta_2),(2,\beta_3)\}$$

lifting to

$$\{2sb,(o_1,0);(1,2s\beta_1),(1,2\beta_2),(1,2s\beta_3)\} = \{2s(b+\beta_1+\beta_3)+2\beta_2,(o_1,0);\} = L(2s(b+\beta_1+\beta_3)+2\beta_2,1).$$

In particular then, we would have $p = 2s(b+\beta_1+\beta_3)+2\beta_2 \not\equiv 1 \bmod 2s$ since it is even. Cases (2)-(4) are similar with the same kind of modular arithmetic obstructions. □

Remark 6.2. While pullbacks along these covers do not occur from surgeries on a torus knot, more general covers which induce these covers of base orbifolds may.

In contrast, pullbacks along cases (5)-(9) are always realized whenever the base Seifert fiber space is.

Lemma 6.3. Given a surgery with one of the base orbifolds listed below, the pullback along the listed cover is also a surgery on that torus knot.

(1) $S^2(2,s,s) \to S^2(2,s,4)$ on T(2,±s),
(2) $S^2(2,2,3) \to S^2(2,3,4)$ on T(2,±3),
(3) $S^2(2,3,3) \to S^2(2,3,5)$ on T(2,±3),
(4) $S^2(2,2,3) \to S^2(2,3,5)$ on T(2,±3),
(5) $S^2(2,2,5) \to S^2(2,3,5)$ on T(2,±5).

Proof. First consider (1). Then we have as a base space

$$\{b,(o_1,0);(2,1),(s,\beta_2),(4,\beta_3)\}$$

where $\beta_3 \in \{1,3\}$. This lifts along the degree 2 cover (1) with corresponding partitions $2 = \frac{4}{2} = \frac{2}{1} = \frac{s}{s} + \frac{s}{s}$ to give

$$\{2b,(o_1,0);(1,1),(s,\beta_2),(s,\beta_2),(2,\beta_3)\} = \{2b+1,(o_1,0);(s,\beta_2),(s,\beta_2),(2,\beta_3)\}$$

In particular,

$$p = |H_1(\{2b+1,(o_1,0);(s,\beta_2),(s,\beta_2),(2,\beta_3)\})| = 2s^2(2b+1) + s^2\beta_3 + 4s\beta_2 \equiv s \bmod 2s.$$

By Moser's classification this base orbifold is realized whenever $|2sq+p| = s$. In fact for any choice of $p \equiv s \bmod 2s$, there is a choice of q so that $|2sq+p| = s$. Since p determines b, β_2, and β_3 by the Chinese remainder theorem, this space

$$\{2b+1,(o_1,0);(s,\beta_2),(s,\beta_2),(2,\beta_3)\}$$

is realized as surgery on T(2,±s). The other cases (2)-(5) are similar. □

6.2. **Realization of covers over a fixed orbifold.** In this case the only possible covers are fiberwise covers, which are determined by corollary 4.8. Since the b and β invariants are determined by p (see lemma 2.6), it is enough to compute p (the order of H_1) in the cover, and see if surgery with that p can produce the base orbifold in question. We provide an example:

Consider the Seifert fiber space obtained by $(2,3)$ surgery on T(2,5). This has base orbifold $S^2(2,5,32)$ with H_1 of order $p=2$. The standard Seifert form is therefore

$$\{-2,(o_1,0);(2,1),(5,3),(32,29)\}$$

Taking this as a degree d fiberwise cover gives

$$\{-2d,(o_1,0);(2,d),(5,3d),(32,29d)\}$$

which has H_1 of order $2d$, which will be a surgery on T(2,5) precisely when $|10q+p|=32$ which can only occur whenever $2d=p\equiv\pm 2 \bmod 10$, so whenever $d\equiv 1,4,6,9 \bmod 10$. Additionally, the value of q then determined by $|10q+p|=32$ must be relatively prime to p. For example $p=12,q=2$ is a solution, but not a valid surgery, whereas $p=22,q=1$ is.

Remark 6.4. This example agrees with [LM16, Theorem 1.12], since although $2/3<1$, $\lceil 3/2\rceil > \lfloor 1/22\rfloor$ so this (regular) cover is consistent with their theorem.

6.3. **Realization of compositions of covers.** We describe the general method for checking if one Seifert fiber space $\widetilde{M}$ covers another Seifert fiber space M, according to corollary 4.7.

(1) First check if there exists a cover between the base orbifolds. If $\widetilde{M}$ is a lens space, then check all $S^2(d,d)$ which cover the base orbifold of M. For small Seifert fiber spaces this is classified in section 5.
(2) Next compute the pullback of the proposed base manifold M along the cover of base orbifolds from (1), as described in section 6.1
(3) Finally check if the proposed cover $\widetilde{M}$ covers this pullback as described in section 6.2.

Proof of theorem 1.5. By lemma 3.3, we can reduce to the case that at least one of the two surgeries is not a lens space. Proposition 5.4 classifies covers of base orbifolds in this case. All such non-trivial covers occur on $T(2,s)$, so all covers on $T(r,s)$ with $r,s>2$ are fiberwise covers. It remains to check that if $\widetilde{M}\to M$ is a degree d fiberwise cover, then $d\cdot|H_1(\widetilde{M})|=|H_1(M)|$. Suppose

$$\widetilde{M}=\{b;(o_1,0);(\alpha_1,\beta_1),(\alpha_2,\beta_2),(\alpha_3,\beta_3)\}.$$

Then according to [Mos71], $|H_1(\widetilde{M})|=\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 b+\alpha_1\alpha_2\beta_3+\alpha_1\beta_2\alpha_3+\beta_1\alpha_2\alpha_3$, and by corollary 4.8

$$M=\{db;(o_1,0);(\alpha_1,d\beta_1),(\alpha_2,d\beta_2),(\alpha_3,d\beta_3)\}.$$

This gives that $|H_1(M)|=\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 db+\alpha_1\alpha_2 d\beta_3+\alpha_1 d\beta_2\alpha_3+d\beta_1\alpha_2\alpha_3=d\cdot|H_1(\widetilde{M})|$ as desired. Conversely, since $|H_1(M)|$ and the base orbifold determine M, as long as $|rsq+p|=|rsq'+p'|$ and $p|p'$, taking the degree p'/p fiberwise quotient of $S^3_{p/q}(T(r,s))$ will give $S^3_{p'/q'}(T(r,s))$.
□

Proof of corollary 1.6. By theorem 1.5, all pullback covers occur on the $T(2,s)$ torus knots, so it is enough to check that fiberwise covers satisfy conjecture 1.2. However, fiberwise covers preserve the knot, so they restrict to covers of the knot complement, as desired. □

We conclude with an example. Let $\widetilde{M}$ be $(-5,1)$ surgery on $T(2,3)$ and let M be $(-45,7)$ surgery on $T(2,3)$. Then by Moser's classification the base orbifold of M is

$$\{1;(o_1,0);(2,1),(3,1),(3,2)\}$$

with base orbifold $S^2(2,3,3)$. Since $\widetilde{M}$ is a lens space, we should check pullbacks along $S^2\to S^2(2,3,3)$, $S^2(2,2)\to S^2(2,3,3)$, and $S^2(3,3)\to S^2(2,3,3)$. We will first pull back along

$S^2(3,3) \to S^2(2,3,3)$, which will turn out to be sufficient. The partitions for this degree 4 cover are $4 = \frac{2}{1} + \frac{2}{1} = \frac{3}{1} + \frac{3}{3} = \frac{3}{1} + \frac{3}{3}$ as computed from figure 5. This gives the Seifert fiber space

$$\{4; (o_1, 0); (1,1), (1,1), (1,1), (3,1), (1,2), (3,2)\} = \{9; (o_1, 0); (3,1), (3,2)\} = L(90, -29).$$

This is 19-fold covered by $L(5, -29) = L(5, 1)$, which by Moser's classification is M.

7. Hyperbolic Knots

In this section we will first use a theorem of Futer, Kalfagianni, and Purcell to give a fairly strong restriction in the general case of conjecture 1.7, and then we will use computations of the hyperbolic volume and identification of exceptional surgeries to prove the conjecture for non-zero surgeries on knots with 8 or fewer crossings.

We begin by stating a special case of their theorem.

Theorem 7.1. [FKP08, Theorem 1.1] Let K be a hyperbolic knot in S^3, and let l be the length of a surgery slope p/q on the knot complement which is greater than 2π. Then

$$K_{p/q} \geq \left(1 - \left(\frac{2\pi}{l_{p/q}}\right)^2\right)^{3/2} \cdot \mathrm{Vol}(S^3 - K)$$

Corollary 7.2. Let $K \subset S^3$ be a hyperbolic knot, and $p'/q' \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then there are at most 32 $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $K_{p/q}$ is non-trivially covered by $K_{p'/q'}$.

Remark 7.3. A somewhat similar theorem of Hodgson and Kerckhoff [HK05, Theorem 5.9, Corollary 6.7] gives a similar result, but with a bound of 60 surgeries.

Proof of corollary 7.2. Let $\mathrm{Vol}(K_{p/q})$ be the hyperbolic volume of $K_{p/q}$. Since hyperbolic volume is multiplicative under covers (see for example [Rat06, Theorem 11.6.3]),

$$\mathrm{Vol}(K_{p'/q'}) = n\mathrm{Vol}(K_{p/q}).$$

Furthermore a theorem of Thurston [Thu, Theorem 6.5.6] gives the inequality $\mathrm{Vol}(S^3 - K) > \mathrm{Vol}(K_{p/q}), \mathrm{Vol}(K_{p'/q'})$. Hence by non-triviality of the cover, $\mathrm{Vol}(K_{p/q}) < \mathrm{Vol}(S^3 - K)/2$. Now we can solve for $l_{p/q}$ in theorem 7.1 to get

$$l_{p/q} < \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{1 - (1/2)^{2/3}}} = 10.328942\ldots$$

, We claim there are at most 32 p/q for which this is satisfied. Let p/q and r/s be slopes such that the above equation is satisfied. Then as in the proof of [Ago00, Theorem 8.1], the intersection number between the p/q curve and the r/s curve is less than or equal to $(10.33\ldots)^2/(\text{area of the cusp torus, } T)$. That is,

$$|ps - rq| \leq \frac{(10.33\ldots)^2}{\mathrm{area}(T)}$$

If K is not 4_1, then $\mathrm{area}(T) > 3.48$ (see for example [CM01]). Combining these results then gives

$$|ps - rq| < 30.67$$

But by [Ago00, Lemma 8.2], there are at most (the next prime after n)+1 slopes with intersection number n, so there are at most 32 p/q such that $K_{p/q}$ is non-trivially covered by $K_{p'/q'}$. □

We proceed using SnapPy [CDGW] to attempt to resolve the question for low crossing number knots. First, SnapPy will compute the cusp shape $s \in \mathbb{C}$ of a hyperbolic knot. From this it is easiest to compute the normalized surgery length, so we normalize the cusp to have area 1, and

to have positive real meridian. Computing this normalized meridian m and longitude l in terms of the cusp shape s given by SnapPy gives

$$m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathrm{Im}(s)|}}, \quad l = sm.$$

Lemma 7.4. Let $k \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $a = \dfrac{|k \cdot \mathrm{Re}(l)|}{|m \cdot \mathrm{Im}(l)|} + k$ and $b = \dfrac{k}{|\mathrm{Im}(l)|}$, and suppose either $|p| > a$ or $|q| > b$. Then (p, q) surgery on K has surgery curve of normalized length greater than k.

Proof. The normalized surgery length is $|pm + ql|$, and since m is real, $|q \cdot \mathrm{Im}(l)| \leq |pm + ql|$. In particular, as long as $|q| > \dfrac{k}{|\mathrm{Im}(l)|}$ then $|pm + ql| > k$. Now suppose $|q| \leq \dfrac{k}{|\mathrm{Im}(l)|}$, but that $|p| > \dfrac{|k \cdot \mathrm{Re}(l)|}{|m \cdot \mathrm{Im}(l)|} + k$. Then

$$|pm + ql| \geq |\mathrm{Re}(pm + ql)| = |\mathrm{Re}(pm) + \mathrm{Re}(ql)| = |pm + \mathrm{Re}(ql)|$$

But $|\mathrm{Re}(ql)|$ is at most $\dfrac{k \cdot |\mathrm{Re}(l)|}{|\mathrm{Im}(l)|}$, so as long as $|pm|$ is at least $\dfrac{|k \cdot \mathrm{Re}(l)|}{|\mathrm{Im}(l)|} + k$ then $|pm + ql| > k$, or equivalently as long as $|p| \geq \dfrac{|k \cdot \mathrm{Re}(l)|}{|m \cdot \mathrm{Im}(l)|} + k$, then $|pm + ql| > k$, as desired. □

Using lemma 7.4, and SnapPy we obtain the following

Proposition 7.5. Let K be a hyperbolic knot with 8 or fewer crossings. Then there is no pair of hyperbolic surgeries $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ and $S^3_{p'/q'}(K)$ with a non-trivial covering between them.

Proof. Using lemma 7.4, combined with
Using corollary 7.2, it would be enough to check that among the shortest 32 surgery lengths all have larger hyperbolic volume than $\mathrm{Vol}(S^3 - K)/2$. The volumes are checked with SnapPy using lemma 7.4 to ensure that we check at least the 32 shortest curves.

For all of them except $S^3_{\pm 5/1}(4_1)$ and $S^3_{1/1}(6_1)$, the volume of the surgered manifold is more than half the volume of the knot complement. Hence by the argument in corollary 7.2 they cannot be covered by other surgeries on the same knot. For the remaining two hyperbolic surgeries, we have

$$\mathrm{Vol}(S^3_{5/1}(4_1)) = 0.9813688\ldots \text{ and } \mathrm{Vol}(S^3_{1/1}(6_1)) = 1.3985088\ldots$$

whereas

$$\mathrm{Vol}(4_1) = 2.0298832\ldots \text{ and } \mathrm{Vol}(6_1) = 3.1639632\ldots$$

For these two surgeries the volume is more than a third the volume of the knot complement. Hence it is enough to check that these two manifolds have no two fold covers. But

$$|H^1(S^3_{\pm 5/1}(4_1))| = 5, \text{ and } |H_1(S^3_{1/1}(6_1))| = 1$$

are both odd, so there are no maps from $H_1 \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = S_2$, so there are no two fold covers. □

This leaves the case of exceptional (non-hyperbolic) surgeries on knots with 8 or fewer crossings. We first consider alternating knots for which exceptional surgeries are classified in [IM16, Corollary 1.2]. In particular, among alternating hyperbolic knots, only twist knots have more than one exceptional surgery. The Regina software [BBP+16] was used to identify the Seifert fibered exceptional surgeries, and the zero-surgeries. The last remaining exceptional surgery, ± 4-surgery, is worked out in [Ter13, Section 2] to be toroidal, the union of a twisted interval bundle over the Klein bottle and a torus knot complement. Figure 6 gives the Seifert fibered surgeries, and figure 7 gives the toroidal surgeries.

Twist knot	± 1-surgery	± 2-surgery	± 3-surgery
4_1	$\{-1;(2,1),(3,1),(7,1)\}$	$\{-1;(2,1),(4,1),(5,1)\}$	$\{-1;(3,1),(3,1),(4,1)\}$
5_2	$\{-1;(2,1),(3,1),(11,2)\}$	$\{-1;(2,1),(4,1),(7,2)\}$	$\{-1;(3,1),(3,1),(5,2)\}$
6_1	$\{-1;(2,1),(3,1),(13,2)\}$	$\{-1;(2,1),(4,1),(9,2)\}$	$\{-1;(3,1),(3,1),(7,2)\}$
7_2	$\{-1;(2,1),(3,1),(17,3)\}$	$\{-1;(2,1),(4,1),(11,3)\}$	$\{-1;(3,1),(3,1),(8,3)\}$
8_1	$\{-1;(2,1),(3,1),(19,3)\}$	$\{-1;(2,1),(4,1),(13,3)\}$	$\{-1;(3,1),(3,1),(10,3)\}$

FIGURE 6. The exceptional Seifert fiber surgeries on hyperbolic twist knots with 8 or fewer crossings. These are all Seifert fibered over S^2; the $(o_1, 0)$ term in the standard notation is omitted for brevity.

Twist knot	0-surgery	± 4-surgery
4_1	$[A:(1,1)]/\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & -2\end{pmatrix}$	$(S^3 - T(2,3)) \cup K_I$
5_2	$[A:(2,1)]/\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & -1\end{pmatrix}$	$(S^3 - T(2,5)) \cup K_I$
6_1	$[A:(2,1)]/\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & -2\end{pmatrix}$	$(S^3 - T(2,7)) \cup K_I$
7_2	$[A:(3,2)]/\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & -1\end{pmatrix}$	$(S^3 - T(2,9)) \cup K_I$
8_1	$[A:(3,1)]/\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & -2\end{pmatrix}$	$(S^3 - T(2,11)) \cup K_I$

FIGURE 7. The exceptional toroidal surgeries on hyperbolic twist knots with 8 or fewer crossings. K_I refers to the nontrivial interval bundle over the Klein bottle coming from the mapping cylinder of the orientation cover. $[A:(x,y)]$ refers to the Seifert fiber space with base surface the annulus and a single exceptional fiber (x,y). Quotienting by a matrix refers to gluing the two torus boundary components together via that element of the mapping class group. The framing is given by choosing a section and the fiber.

Covers of Seifert fiber spaces are Seifert fiber spaces, and the multiplicativity of orbifold Euler characteristic gives an obstruction to covers between the surgeries in figure 6. We now consider the toroidal surgeries in figure 7.

Lemma 7.6. Let M and N be 3-manifolds. If rank $H_1(M;\mathbb{R}) >$ rank $H_1(N;\mathbb{R})$ then N cannot cover M.

Proof. Suppose $f : N \to M$ is a covering map. Then the transfer homomorphism composed with the induced map on homology gives a degree $\deg(f)$ map from $H_1(M;\mathbb{R})$ to itself, which is an isomorphism. This implies that the transfer homomorphism in injective and hence that rank $H_1(M;\mathbb{R}) \leq$ rank $H_1(N;\mathbb{R})$. □

By lemma 7.6, 0-surgery on a knot can never be covered by any non-zero surgery on a knot. It remains to check that ± 4-surgery is not covered by 0-surgery. Since torus knot complements admit an $\widetilde{SL_2(\mathbb{R})}$ geometry (see for example [Tsa13]), and the mapping cone of the orientation cover of the Klein bottle has Euclidean geometry the torus decomposition from the geometrization theorem of the ± 4-surgeries has two pieces. However, the torus decomposition of the 0-surgery has only one piece, since cutting along the glued boundary components leaves a Seifert fiber space. Hence the 0-surgery cannot cover the 4-surgery. In particular,

Proposition 7.7. Conjecture 1.7 is true for alternating knots with 8 or fewer crossings.

The final case is that of the non-alternating hyperbolic knots of 8 or fewer crossings, the 8_{20} knot, and the 8_{21} knot.

SnapPy [CDGW] verifies that all surgeries on the 8_{21} knot, and all surgeries except the 0, 1, and 2 surgery on the 8_{20} knot are hyperbolic. In fact, the volumes of surgeries on the 8_{21} knot are all large enough to obstruct any non-trivial covers, as in corollary 7.2.

The 8_{20} knot is also the pretzel knot $P(3,-3,2)$, and [Mei14, Theorem 1.1] shows that the only Seifert fiber space surgery on $P(3,-3,2)$ is 2-surgery which is identified as $\{-1;(o_1,0);(2,1),(4,1),(9,2)\}$.

The paper [Wu11, Theorem 1.1] finishes the classification of toroidal surgeries on Montesinos knots, and in particular shows that the 0 and 1-surgeries on the 8_{20} knot are toroidal.

Remark 7.8. The paper [Wu11, Theorem 1.1] refers to the 8_{20} knot in Montesinos notation as $K(-1/3,1/3,1/7)$.

If we exclude this exceptional zero surgery, we then have the following.

Proposition 7.9. Let K be a hyperbolic knot with 8 or fewer crossings, and $p/q \neq 0$. Then $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ does not non-trivially cover $S^3_{p'/q'}(K)$. In particular, conjecture 1.7 is true for these knots.

This also completes the proof of proposition 1.9.

References

[Ago00] Ian Agol. Bounds on exceptional Dehn filling. *Geom. Topol.*, 4:431–449, 2000.

[BBP+16] Benjamin A. Burton, Ryan Budney, William Pettersson, et al. Regina: Software for low-dimensional topology. `http://regina.sourceforge.net/`, 1999–2016.

[BHW99] Steven A. Bleiler, Craig D. Hodgson, and Jeffrey R. Weeks. Cosmetic surgery on knots. In *Proceedings of the Kirbyfest (Berkeley, CA, 1998)*, volume 2 of *Geom. Topol. Monogr.*, pages 23–34 (electronic). Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 1999.

[CDGW] Marc Culler, Nathan M. Dunfield, Matthias Goerner, and Jeffrey R. Weeks. SnapPy, a computer program for studying the geometry and topology of 3-manifolds. Available at `http://snappy.computop.org` (10/15/2016).

[CM01] Chun Cao and G. Robert Meyerhoff. The orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds of minimum volume. *Invent. Math.*, 146(3):451–478, 2001.

[CS99] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane. *Sphere packings, lattices and groups*, volume 290 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, New York, third edition, 1999. With additional contributions by E. Bannai, R. E. Borcherds, J. Leech, S. P. Norton, A. M. Odlyzko, R. A. Parker, L. Queen and B. B. Venkov.

[EKS84] Allan L. Edmonds, Ravi S. Kulkarni, and Robert E. Stong. Realizability of branched coverings of surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 282(2):773–790, 1984.

[FKP08] David Futer, Efstratia Kalfagianni, and Jessica S. Purcell. Dehn filling, volume, and the Jones polynomial. *J. Differential Geom.*, 78(3):429–464, 2008.

[Gor91] Cameron McA. Gordon. Dehn surgery on knots. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I, II (Kyoto, 1990)*, pages 631–642. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1991.

[Hat] Allen Hatcher. Notes on basic 3-manifold topology. https://www.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/3M/3M.pdf. Accessed: 2016-10-01.

[HK05] Craig D. Hodgson and Steven P. Kerckhoff. Universal bounds for hyperbolic Dehn surgery. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 162(1):367–421, 2005.

[IM16] Kazuhiro Ichihara and Hidetoshi Masai. Exceptional surgeries on alternating knots. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 24(2):337–377, 2016.

[Jeo] BoGwang Jeon. The unlikely intersection theory and the cosmetic surgery conjecture. Available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.02258 (11/9/2016).

[JN83] Mark Jankins and Walter D. Neumann. *Lectures on Seifert manifolds*, volume 2 of *Brandeis Lecture Notes*. Brandeis University, Waltham, MA, 1983.

[LM16] Tye Lidman and Ciprian Manolescu. Floer homology and covering spaces. 2016.

[Mei14] Jeffrey Meier. Small Seifert fibered surgery on hyperbolic pretzel knots. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 14(1):439–487, 2014.

[Mos71] Louise Moser. Elementary surgery along a torus knot. *Pacific J. Math.*, 38:737–745, 1971.

[NW15] Yi Ni and Zhongtao Wu. Cosmetic surgeries on knots in S^3. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 706:1–17, 2015.

[Rat06] John G. Ratcliffe. *Foundations of hyperbolic manifolds*, volume 149 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2006.

[Ter13] Masakazu Teragaito. Left-orderability and exceptional Dehn surgery on twist knots. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 56(4):850–859, 2013.

[Thu] William Thurston. Geometry and topology of three-manifolds. http://library.msri.org/books/gt3m/. Accessed: 2016-10-01.

[Tsa13] Valdemar V. Tsanov. Triangle groups, automorphic forms, and torus knots. *Enseign. Math. (2)*, 59(1-2):73–113, 2013.

[vK19] B. von Kerékjártó. Über die periodischen Transformationen der Kreisscheibe und der Kugelfläche. *Math. Ann.*, 80(1):36–38, 1919.

[Wu11] Ying-Qing Wu. The classification of toroidal Dehn surgeries on Montesinos knots. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 19(2):305–345, 2011.